THE POST-2020 GLOBAL BIODIVERSITY FRAMEWORK PROPOSAL ON TARGET 2 ON ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION FOR RIVERS

KEY MESSAGES

• Freshwater habitats, of which rivers are an important habitat type, cover only about 2% of the Earth’s surface but are home to 10% of known species¹ and are suffering declines in species abundance more than twice as fast as the declines observed on land or in the oceans².

• Restoration of river flows and connectivity are important restoration activities that need to be considered by the post-2020 GBF and included in Target 2.

• The amount of riverine restoration is best monitored in linear units (km) given the linear nature of river systems. Therefore, metrics limited to areal extent (e.g., hectares) as currently proposed in Target 2 will fail to adequately include this important ecosystem.

• As Target 2 will be more effective if expressed in absolute numbers, it is suggested that it includes “at least 300,000 km of rivers” among the other ecosystems.
INTRODUCTION

To achieve the overall goal of reversing biodiversity loss, it is crucial to highlight the role played by free flowing rivers in ensuring ecological connectivity for inland water species. Although connectivity has featured in the Convention’s policy making for over a decade and continues to play a key role across the post-2020 GBF (Goal A, Milestone A.1, Target 1, 2, and 3), the importance of restoring river connectivity and flows has not been highlighted enough in the latest draft versions of the framework.

Restoring rivers means restoring the unimpeded movement of species and the flow of natural processes that sustain life on earth. Removing barriers and other actions to restore flows and connectivity in rivers are important restoration activities that need to be considered by the GBF Target 2.

To avoid known issues with defining the current extent of ‘transformed’ or ‘converted’ areas, draft text for Target 2 is moving away from a percentage target and toward the expression of a restoration target in terms of a global area in (billions of hectares). While this is readily applicable for terrestrial ecosystems and certain types of inland waters or wetlands, an area-based approach is “poorly adapted” to river ecosystems. This briefing proposes to address this gap by proposing a global target and indicator to define and track river restoration under the post-2020 GBF.
Loss of fluvial or river connectivity is considered one of the main threats to freshwater ecosystem integrity and has been linked – together with other threats, like water pollution – with the extinction and population declines of freshwater species. Where river connectivity has been restored and remnant populations of freshwater species remain, dramatic recovery in those populations has been documented.

The connectivity and natural flow regime of river systems is fundamental to their biological integrity. River or fluvial connectivity extends in four dimensions: longitudinally (up- and downstream in the river channel), laterally (between main channel, floodplain, and riparian areas), vertically (between groundwater, river, and atmosphere) and temporally (natural flows that include seasonal variations, transport of sediment, and other organic materials). The flow regime has long been recognized as the ‘master variable’ in driving the state of river systems (including periodic connectivity of floodplains to the main river channel and sediment and nutrient transport that shapes downstream habitats). In addition to the flow regime, connectivity is critical for aquatic species movements to complete their life cycles and for genetic exchange. Healthy rivers and their floodplains also provide critical services for people, including fisheries and other foods upon which hundreds of millions of people depend; a buffer during flood events; sediments and nutrients that nourish fields and deltas; and recreational, cultural and spiritual values. The IUCN World Conservation Congress formally recognized the critical role of connected rivers in buffering against climate impacts on freshwater species and certain services in its passage of Resolution 8 in 2020.

Grill et al. (2019) mapped river connectivity across the globe. They found that river connectivity has declined markedly, and that long free-flowing rivers (>1,000 km) are rare outside of the Arctic region and Amazon and Congo Basins.
Rivers are linear systems such that their restoration is best measured in linear units. Restoration metrics within the post-2020 GBF that are limited to areal extent (e.g., square kilometers) will be inadequate for measuring restoration of rivers, a key ecosystem type that, along with other inland waters, supports a disproportionate amount of Earth’s biodiversity. Linear units are appropriate for measuring how connectivity, and therefore restoration of riverine ecosystems, can be monitored and the increase or decrease properly reported in the post-2020 GBF.
A Connectivity Status Index (CSI) is available to measure river connectivity and indicate where restoration may be needed. The CSI’s component indicators are tied to the four connectivity dimensions; the indicators are river fragmentation, flow regulation, sediment trapping, water consumption, and infrastructure development in riparian and floodplain areas. A global application of the CSI has calculated index scores for over 12 million river kilometers.

The CSI is applied at the scale of a river reach and the results can be aggregated at many larger scales, for example, at the scale of countries, basins, regions, and globally. The CSI meets multiple criteria identified by UNEP-WCMC for viable CBD indicators: 1) Alignment with target; 2) Availability and suitability for use at global and national scales; 3) Scientific robustness; 4) Data availability anticipated for the time period post-2020, and historical data available; 5) Geographic coverage of data for all regions of the world; 6) Indicator planned for use at national level (Canada); and 7) Easily understandable. TheCSI addresses river connectivity more comprehensively than other indicators and is as such positioned to play a key role for tracking connectivity for multiple proposed goals and targets of the Global Biodiversity Framework (Targets 2 and 3 and Goal A17). We encourage the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO)-led Task Force on Monitoring of the UN Decade on Ecosystem Restoration that is currently working to propose a monitoring system for Target 2 to consider this index to measure progress on river connectivity.

For Target 2, CSI data aggregated at global, country-, basin- or region-levels could be used to set targets for river connectivity restoration. Here we provide a recommended global river restoration target based on CSI data from the global assessment. To arrive at a target number, we calculated 30% of the total river kilometers of impacted river reaches (CSI < 95%). Using this methodology, the global target for restoration of transformed to natural river reaches is at least 300,000 kilometers. The working group is also summarizing best available information to assess the restoration target using the proposed methodology for achieving no-net loss by 2030.
In the implementation of the previous Strategic plan, inland water ecosystems have often been overlooked in the national targets to increase natural habitat set by the CBD Parties, as highlighted by the Assessment of Progress towards Aichi Target 5 and 15 released by the CBD secretariat in 2016. While biodiversity targets should be representative of the diversity of all natural ecosystems, the risk of overlooking the inland water ecosystems persists (in the post-2020 GBF), especially in Target 2 on restoration and Target 3 on conservation through protected areas and other effective area-based conservation measures. For Target 2, the CSI (or a derivative of it) has already been proposed in document CBD/WG2020/3/INF/2 (2021).

The restoration target risks being the one to not deliver on the result, as it may be expressed in percentage of degradation. Since a definition of degradation has not been agreed within the CBD process, due to the lack of consensus on the baseline, the percentage areas to be restored will be difficult to measure. Despite the fact that the Science Briefs on Ecosystems presented at the OEWG-4 in Nairobi advised that this target should be expressed in absolute numbers, several Parties are still convinced that expressing the target in percentages would be easier and consistent with the rest of the framework.

To ensure a balance among the different types of ecosystems to be restored, Parties should set targets for each of them estimating their global restoration potential: hectares of degraded inland water and terrestrial ecosystems, kilometers of rivers, and hectares of coastal and marine ecosystems. As in the draft EU Restoration Law – which is the only example that is currently available of a regional piece of legislation on restoration – rivers need to be accounted for with separate metrics because of the linear nature of this particular ecosystem. Measuring restoration of rivers in hectares would lead to the omission of a crucial habitat for a high number of species hampering the achievement of the overall framework.

While this proposal suggests that the restoration of ‘at least 300,000 km of rivers’ should be included in Target 2, the results of the global river connectivity assessment could also be used to support countries to set national level river connectivity (river km) restoration targets. Interventions that would support river connectivity and flow restoration include barrier removal (dams, levees, weirs), re-operation of existing dams, installation of effective fish passage facilities, and floodplain restoration, among others.
ENDNOTES


3 See Inland Water Ecosystems


17 See Inland Water Ecosystems: Post-2020 GFB – Support and a Pathway for Inland Water Ecosystems in the 30 x 30 Target

18 The methodology uses a global extent of 12M km of rivers that includes streams and rivers greater than 10 km in length and with a discharge greater than 1 cms (Opperman et al. 2021, Grill et al. 2019). Therefore, we recommend that the 300K target is applied to the commensurate envelope of river size classes. A recent study estimated a global extent of 24.3M km, when headwater streams greater than 0.1 cms are included (Abell et al. 2017). A restoration target that includes headwater streams will be higher.


OUR MISSION IS TO CONSERVE NATURE AND REDUCE THE MOST PRESSING THREATS TO THE DIVERSITY OF LIFE ON EARTH.