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## EXECUTVIV SUMMARY

Evolved to live in some of the world's highest and harshest habitats, the elusive and rare snow leopards (Panthera uncia) are undisputed icons of High Asia. Across their distributional range in Central and South Asia, the snow leopard's habitat spans diverse landscapes, with livestock herding being the most dominant form of land use. As a result, areas inhabited by snow leopards and people often overlap, creating challenges as well as opportunities for their conservation.

Snow leopard conservation has received increasing attention in the past two decades and global interest in protecting this unique high-mountain cat continues to rise. However, effective and efficient snow leopard conservation initiatives require multi-dimensional research and collaboration among a diverse array of actors. National governments in snow leopard range, for instance, have repeatedly pledged their support for the conservation of the animal and the breathtaking landscapes they inhabit. These landscapes house an array of unique high-altitude wildlife and provide homes and life-sustaining natural resources to hundreds of millions of people. The mountains of High Asia also form the headwaters of 20 major river basins, an important water source for 22 countries (Sindorf et al., 2014). More than 2 billion people live in these basins which overlap the snow leopard range.

Given the growing interest in and commitment towards conservation of snow leopards and their habitats, it is crucial to examine the depth and breadth of knowledge currently available to inform conservation efforts and identify gaps in that knowledge. We reviewed over 100 years of published research on snow leopards to examine its temporal and spatial trends across an array of thematic areas.

Snow leopard research intensified in the 1970s and studies on snow leopards have continued to increase exponentially since then. However, just four hotspots of snow leopard research

> 4 hotspots of snow leopard research (sites with continued multi-year research) have emerged, with less than 23\% of the snow leopard range being researched.

(sites with continued multi-year research) have emerged, with less than $23 \%$ of the snow leopard range being researched. Nepal, India and Chinahave conducted the most snow leopard research, followed by Mongolia and Pakistan. Our analysis revealed that snow leopard research was highly focussed on ecological research followed by studies on human-wildlife conflict. Most ecological studies focused on estimating the number and distribution of snow leopards and prey species. However, conservationists have surveyed less than $3 \%$ of the snow leopard range using rigorous and scientifically acceptable abundance estimation approaches. The lack of attention to the human dimensions of conservation was particularly stark, especially given that the majority of the snow leopard range is inhabited by local communities dependent on livestock herding. More importantly, very few studies evaluated the effectiveness of conservation actions. A lack of evidence demonstrating and quantifying the impacts of conservation interventions is a significant knowledge gap in snow leopard research.

In this review, we identify and suggest the high-priority research necessary for effective conservation planning for snow leopards and their multipleuse habitat in High Asia.

[^0]
## INTRODUCTION


#### Abstract

Distinctly adapted to the cold and rugged mountains of Asia, snow leopards (Panthera uncia) are masters of stealth and camouflage. Their legendary ability to blend with their surroundings has earned them the epithet 'ghost of the mountains'. Arguably, the most iconic emblems of High Asia, snow leopards inhabit the mountain ranges of 12 countries across Central and South Asia: China, Bhutan, Nepal, India, Pakistan, Afghanistan, Tajikistan, Uzbekistan, Kyrgyzstan, Kazakhstan, Russia and Mongolia. Fewer than 6,400 snow leopards are thought to survive in a potential habitat range spanning approximately 1.8 million square kilometres (Snow Leopard Working Secretariat, 2013). Snow leopard habitats in the high mountains of Asia host a diversity of high-altitude adapted species and provide life-sustaining natural resources, such as freshwater, for hundreds of millions of people. According to one estimate, over 330 million people live within 10 km of rivers originating in the snow leopard habitat (Sindorf et al., 2014).


The snow leopard habitat is subject to pervasive human use, predominantly in the form of pastoralism and agropastoralism. The snow leopard range in Asia is witnessing rapid transformation through the development of large-scale infrastructure, sedentarisation of nomadic communities, increasing livestock stocking densities and degradation of rangelands. This rapid socio-economic transformation has resulted in an unprecedented anthropogenic footprint in the region and an increase in the over-exploitation of natural resources due to improved

Only 35\%
of current snow leopard range is predicted to remain as stable climate refugia. Snow leopard habitat is expected to decline by 8-23\% by 2070 due to climate impacts. access and linkages with consumers and markets outside the region. Over the past two decades, snow leopard habitats have increasingly been subject to mining (Snow Leopard Network, 2014), rearing of commercial livestock such as cashmere goats (Berger et al., 2013), largescale extraction of non-forest products such as cordyceps (Wangchuk \& Wangdi, 2015) and tourism. The impacts of climate change have also exacerbated the threats to snow leopards and their habitats. Climate models estimate that by 2070 only $35 \%$ of current snow leopard range will remain stable climate refugia and snow leopard habitats will decline by $8-23 \%$ and become increasingly fragmented (Forrest et al., 2012; Li et al., 2016).

In 2002, conservationists from across the snow leopard range countries came together to consolidate existing knowledge on snow leopards and discuss the next steps in their conservation. The resulting snow leopard survival strategy document synthesized existing knowledge and identified critical threats and gaps in information (McCarthy
\& Chapron, 2003). The strategy was revised in 2014 (Snow Leopard Network, 2014) to take stock of progress in filling those knowledge gaps, re-evaluate the prevalence of threats to snow leopards and present an actionable way forward for their conservation. The governments of the 12 snow leopard range countries reiterated their commitment to protect snow leopards and their habitats through the 2017 Bishkek Declaration and 2019 Delhi Declaration.

Current knowledge on snow leopards shows that only $14-19 \%$ of their range overlaps with Protected Areas (Deguignet et al., 2014), with $40 \%$ of those Protected Areas being smaller than a single adult's average home range (Johansson et al., 2016). Conservationists generally agree that land sharing, as opposed to land sparing, is the most viable approach to the long-term conservation of snow leopards (Johansson et al., 2016; Mishra et al., 2010). However, the large interface between pastoral communities and the species often results in conflict, wherein livestock depredation by snow leopards sometimes results in retaliatory killings. It is estimated that between 221 to 450 snow leopards are killed by people annually, with $55 \%$ of these being retaliatory killings in response to livestock predation by snow leopards (Nowell et al., 2016).

Since a majority of the snow leopard range is comprised of multiple-use landscapes with varying

degrees of human presence and dependence, it is crucial to understand the scenarios that promote co-existence. Finding opportunities and limits of co-existence requires a multidisciplinary approach in order to understand the ecological processes, socio-economic factors, drivers of people's tolerance and stewardship of wildlife, political realities and external drivers of change. In this study, we ask whether several decades of accumulated knowledge on snow leopards provides this understanding.

We systematically reviewed published snow leopard research between 1904 and 2020 to examine spatio-temporal trends in research and identify critical gaps in knowledge and future research priorities for effective conservation planning.

## OBJECTIVES

Examine trends in snow leopard research
over the past 100 years. over the past 100 years.

> Map the spatial extent of the research and identify hotspots of research as well as regions that remain poorly covered.

## Identify critical research gaps and suggest areas of future research for effective conservation planning.


#### Abstract

We conducted a systematic assessment of peer-reviewed scientific articles dealing with snow leopards, wild ungulates, co-predators and snow leopard habitat. We searched for articles in two comprehensive databases: Google Scholar and the online bibliography of the Snow Leopard Network (www.snowleopardnetwork.org). The search was restricted to articles published until September 2020. From the Google Scholar search, we included articles that contained at least the common/scientific name of the species (Snow Leopard, Panthera uncia, Uncia uncia), the common/ scientific names of the main wild ungulate prey of the snow leopards i.e. Siberian ibex (Capra sibirica), blue sheep (Pseudois nayaur), Himalayan tahr (Hemitragus jemlahicus), argali (Ovis ammon), marmots (Marmota spp) and/or at least one of the following words or phrases: human-wildlife conflict, climate change, livestock depredation, conservation plans, conservation policies, poaching and illegal trade, retaliatory killings, distribution and abundance, disease, pasture management, livestock production, community stewardship of conservation, traditional practices and folklore, traditional ecological knowledge, and Protected Areas.


## VARIABLE IDENTFICATION

We included every single peer-reviewed article from the bibliography of the Snow Leopard Network. While we primarily had only English language journal articles, bilingual articles with English language translations were also included. A total of 336 publications were finally included in the review after removing duplicates and non-peer-reviewed articles. However, studies published in country-specific/regional journals were included for the approximation of the spatial extent of snow leopard range covered by some form of research ( $\mathrm{n}=15$ for publications in Chinese and $\mathrm{n}=$ 26 for publications in Russian).

To characterize the research, a list of themes and sub-themes was prepared by screening a random sample of 30 articles based on their research focus, and using the categories of information needs as identified in the Snow Leopard Survival Strategy, 2003 and 2014 (McCarthy \& Chapron, 2003; Snow Leopard Network, 2014). We prepared a table of themes and sub-themes, which was reviewed by four independent snow leopard scientists. Their suggestions on the classification of themes and additional research themes for inclusion were incorporated in the final table (see Appendix I).

The primary authors classified a sub-sample of 30 articles independently and identified and discussed discrepancies until a consensus was reached to ensure consistency in assigning publications to appropriate thematic categories. Following this, the lead author assigned all the 336 publications to one or more themes and sub-themes based on the primary focus of the research. We primarily looked at the abstract, the objectives of the study and results section of the paper to assign it to one or multiple themes. The following information was then also extracted from each article: (1) name(s) of the author(s) (2) year of publication (3) title of the study (4) name of publication (5) study site (6) name of the country (7) geographical coordinates of the study area (8) size of the study area (if provided) (9) key themes and sub-themes examined by the study as outlined in Appendix I. In this way a database of research on snow leopards over the past 100 years was developed.


The coordinates of the studies were extracted where possible and point locations were plotted in a Geographical Information System using the Quantum GIS software (QGIS Development Team, 2017). We then used the Kernel Density Estimation in QGIS to identify hotspots of snow leopard research. While our original intention was to plot the actual polygons of the studies conducted, the information required for such plotting was unavailable for most of the published research and point locations were used instead. We intend to overcome this limitation by releasing an open source online platform called "State of Knowledge in Snow Leopard Range" where authors can add their studies and necessary spatial information to build a live database of snow leopard knowledge across its range.


## RESULTS

## Trends and patterns of research in the snow

## leopard range

Our review showed an uneven distribution of studies across the snow leopard range with the greatest number of studies occurring across the mountain ranges of Altai ( $32 \%$ ), followed by the Tibetan Plateau (19\%), Himalayas (18\%) and Tian Shan (16\%), Pamir Alay (7\%), Hindukush (4\%), Kunlun Shan (2\%) and Karakoram (2\%) mountain ranges (Figure 1).


Figure 1. Distribution of research in the snow leopard range (peer-reviewed publications on snow leopards, prey species, co-predators, their habitat and other thematic aspects) from 1904 to 2020. A few hotspots of snow leopard research included Hemis National Park (India), Spiti Valley (India), Annapurna Conservation Area (Nepal) and Tost Mountains (Mongolia). The snow leopard range map was derived from IUCN 2020.

Research across snow leopard range spans more than a century, starting from 1904. The research on snow leopards grew rapidly in the 1970 and has increased exponentially since then (Figure 2).


Figure 2. Number of peer-reviewed publications on snow leopards, prey species, co-predators, their habitat and other thematic aspects from 1904 to 2020.

The countries reporting the highest number of studies included Nepal, India, China, Mongolia and Pakistan, in decreasing order (Figure 3). The rest of the range countries had less than 10 published studies each. Multi-country studies were also common in the snow leopard range ( $\mathrm{n}=32$ ).

NUMBER OF PUBLICATIONS


Figure 3. Distribution of snow leopard research across range countries.

There was an evident focus on ecological research which had the highest frequency of papers, followed by human-wildlife conflict and social dimensions of research (see Appendix I for details on various thematic categories of research). Other themes such as direct threats to snow leopards and wild ungulates, conservation plans and policies, threats and rangeland issues, climate change and conservation technology received less attention (Figure 4).

378 Ecological


Figure 4. Frequency of various themes of snow leopard research in the snow leopard range from 1904-2020.

While ecological aspects dominated the snow leopard research, a breakdown of ecological research revealed a predominant focus on survey and monitoring with abundance and distribution of snow leopards and wild ungulates being the primary focus of most studies (Figure 5). This was followed by food habit studies, studies on habitat use and selection, molecular ecology, disease ecology, ethology and physiological studies. The majority of disease ecology, ethology and physiological studies were zoo studies. Monitoring

Molecular Ecology

Disease Ecology


Figure 5. Frequency of research sub-themes within the theme 'ecological research'. Note the predominant focus on surveys (abundance and distribution) and food habit studies.

The distribution of research within the snow leopard range countries mirrored the overall global trends, wherein ecological research remained the focus followed by humanwildlife conflict and socio-ecological dimensions. Nepal, India Conflicts
and China not only had the largest number of research studies but also a greater variety of thematic areas.

Conservation Plans for Policies


Direct Threats to Snow Leopard \& Wild Ungulates

Threats \& Issues of Rangelands

Ecological Research

Socio-ecological Dimensions

Figure 6. Frequency of publications per theme in each of the snow leopard range countries from 1904-2020.

## The spatial extent of snow leopard research in the range countries

The 12 snow leopard range countries encompass a potential snow leopard habitat of approximately $1,776,000$ square kilometres (Snow Leopard Working Secretariat, 2013). Of this, approximately 394,819 square kilometres had been subjected to snow leopard research, forming about $22 \%$ of the global range (Table 1).

Studies in China, which had the largest proportion of snow leopard range ( $62 \%$ ), provided snow leopard information for $>270 \mathrm{~K}$ square kilometres, followed by India, Nepal and Mongolia (each having covered an area exceeding 20,000 square kilometres).

The proportion of area within each country covered by research was highest in Nepal (74\%), followed by India (40\%), Uzbekistan (39\%) and China and Russia (25\%).

The spatial spread of snow leopard research showed that India, China and Nepal had the highest number of studies, comprising more than 50 per cent of global research. India, Nepal and Mongolia emerged as hotspots of snow leopard research, indicating intensive long-term studies at specific sites within these countries (Figure 1). Shimshal (Pakistan) and Tomur National Nature Reserve (China) emerged as other hotspots of snow leopard research.

Overall, the spatial analysis indicated that research was restricted to a few hotspots within range countries, revealing large areas of the snow leopard range that received scant research attention.

Table 1. Snow leopard habitat area covered by research, by country, 1904 to 2020 . Only studies that provided some approximation of study area were included. Studies published in country specific/regional journals were also included for better approximation of snow leopard range that had seen some form of research/surveys. The estimate of the snow leopard habitat in each range country was from GSLEP (Snow Leopard Working Secretariat, 2013).

| RANGE COUNTRY | ESTIMATED SNOW LEOPARD HABITAT (KM²) | \% OF GLOBAL SNOW LEOPARD RANGE | NUMBER OF STUDIES | \% OF TOTAL STUDIES | TOTAL AREA COVERED BY RESEARCH | \% OF TOTAL COUNTRY RANGE AREA COVERED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| China | 1,100,000 | 61.94 | 40 | 22 | 270,625 | 25 |
| Kyrgyzstan | 105,000 | 5.91 | 8 | 4 | 10,850 | 10 |
| Mongolia | 101,000 | 5.69 | 11 | 6 | 20,793 | 21 |
| Tajikistan | 100,000 | 5.63 | 6 | 3 | 8,042 | 8 |
| Pakistan | 80,000 | 4.50 | 11 | 6 | 8,431 | 11 |
| India | 75,000 | 4.22 | 46 | 25 | 30,152 | 40 |
| Russia | 60,000 | 3.38 | 2 | 1 | 14,783 | 25 |
| Kazakhstan | 50,000 | 2.82 | 7 | 4 | 2,036 | 4 |
| Afghanistan | 50,000 | 2.82 | 2 | 1 | 1,252 | 3 |
| Nepal | 30,000 | 1.69 | 42 | 23 | 22,205 | 74 |
| Bhutan | 15,000 | 0.84 | 1 | 1 | 1,730 | 12 |
| Uzbekistan | 10,000 | 0.56 | 9 | 5 | 3,920 | 39 |
| Total | 1,776,000 | 100 | 185 | 100 | 394,819 |  |

## Research priorities in the snow leopard range

Considering the research needs identified in the Snow Leopard Survival Strategy as a reflection of global priorities for snow leopard research, 19 of 27 research priorities identified by the Snow Leopard Survival Strategy in 2003 remained unfulfilled in 2014, as shown in the revised snow leopard strategy of 2014 (Table 2). The remaining 8, including hotspots of distribution of snow leopards and wild ungulates, food habits, relationship of snow leopards to other predators, Protected Area coverage of its range, wild ungulate-livestock interactions, analysis of existing laws and policies and methods to alleviate the impact of war, were considered to have adequate research and were no longer identified as research priority areas for the next five years (2014 to 2019).

Overall, 13 of the 27 identified areas of research needs had less than 10 studies each, which included research/ information needs that were no longer considered a priority (Table 2). The research themes with less than 10 studies included snow leopard social structure and behaviour, effects of climate change, development of snow leopard monitoring techniques, trends in livestock and human populations, interactions between livestock and wild ungulates, snow leopard home range size and habitat use, causes of livestock depredation, socio-economic profiling of herder communities, analysis of existing policies and laws, trends in snow leopard population, economic valuation of snow leopard habitats, methods to alleviate the impact of war and snow leopard migration and dispersal routes.

Table 2. Research information needs identified in the Snow Leopard Survival Strategy (SLSS), 2003 and 2014 and the proportion of studies that contributed to those needs. Please note that studies can straddle multiple themes and are not mutually exclusive.

| SL | RESEARCH OR <br> INFORMATION NEEDS | SLSS 2003 | SLSS 2014 | RESEARCH <br> PRIORITY <br> FOR THE <br> NEXT FIVE <br> YEARS | TOTAL NO. <br> OF STUDIES <br> UP TO 2020 | PROPORTION <br> OF TOTAL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Snow leopard migration and <br> dispersal routes | Yes | Yes | Yes | 0 | 0.00 |
| 2 | Snow leopard population <br> size | Yes | Yes | Yes | 22 | 5.14 |
| 3 | Snow leopard population <br> trends and factors involved | Yes | Yes | Yes | 3 | 0.70 |


| SL | RESEARCH OR INFORMATION NEEDS | SLSS 2003 | SLSS 2014 | RESEARCH PRIORITY FOR THE NEXT FIVE YEARS | TOTAL NO. OF STUDIES UP TO 2020 | PROPORTION OF TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | Agents of habitat degradation and relative impacts | Yes | Yes | Yes | 34 | 7.94 |
| 5 | Economic valuation of snow leopards | Yes | Yes | Yes | 2 | 0.47 |
| 6 | Snow leopard-prey relationships | Yes | Yes | Yes | 11 | 2.57 |
| 7 | Livestock depredation rates | Yes | Yes | Yes | 37 | 8.64 |
| 8 | Livestock depredation causes | Yes | Yes | Yes | 5 | 1.17 |
| 9 | Snow leopard home range size and habitat use | Yes | Yes | Yes | 6 | 1.40 |
| 10 | Snow leopard social structure and behaviour | Yes | Yes | Yes | 9 | 2.10 |
| 11 | Snow leopard population genetics | Yes | Yes | Yes | 21 | 4.91 |
| 12 | Snow leopard monitoring techniques development | Yes | Yes | Yes | 8 | 1.87 |
| 13 | Socio-economic profiling of herder communities | Yes | Yes | Yes | 5 | 1.17 |
| 14 | Human attitudes to snow leopards | Yes | Yes | Yes | 25 | 5.84 |
| 15 | Prey population baseline and trends | Yes | Yes | Yes | 36 | 8.41 |
| 16 | Snow leopard and ungulate disease | Yes | Yes | Yes | 20 | 4.67 |
| 17 | Snow leopard poaching levels | Yes | Yes | Yes | 15 | 3.50 |
| 18 | Effects of climate change | No | Yes | Yes | 9 | 2.10 |
| 19 | Livestock and human population status and trends | Yes | Yes | Yes | 7 | 1.64 |
| 20 | Snow leopard food habits | Yes | Yes | No | 26 | 6.07 |
| 21 | Snow leopard distribution and 'hotspots' | Yes | Yes | No | 57 | 13.32 |
| 22 | Prey species distribution and 'hotspots' | Yes | Yes | No | 29 | 6.78 |
| 23 | Wild ungulate-livestock interactions (competition) | Yes | Yes | No | 7 | 1.64 |
| 24 | Snow leopard relationships to other predators | Yes | Yes | No | 15 | 3.50 |
| 25 | Methods to alleviate impact of war | Yes | No | No | 2 | 0.47 |
| 26 | Analysis of existing policies and laws | Yes | No | No | 5 | 1.17 |
| 27 | PA coverage - extent, presentation of habitats | Yes | No | No | 12 | 2.80 |

## Comparative growth of social science and ecological research

There was a steep, exponential rise in ecological research since it began to grow in the 1970s. However, social science research began its growth much later in the 1990 and continued to lag behind ecological research.

Expon (Ecological Research)


Figure 7. Trends in ecological and social science research across the past six decades. The dotted curve shows the exponential rise in ecological research on snow leopards.

## Snow leopard population density estimation

Snow leopard studies focussing on population density estimation using robust field methodologies (camera trapping, genetics, radio-telemetry) and analytical approaches such as spatially explicit capture recapture models (secr) covered an estimated cumulative area of 51,386 square kilometres, or less than $3 \%$ of the total geographic range (Table 3).

Pakistan covered the largest geographic area with research enumerating snow leopard populations, followed by Bhutan, Nepal, India, Mongolia, Tajikistan and China. Bhutan had the largest proportion of its snow leopard habitat covered by population density-focussed research (76\%) followed by Pakistan (24\%) and Nepal (19\%). India, Mongolia, Tajikistan, Kyrgyzstan, Russia and China had less than 10\% of their range covered, while Uzbekistan, Afghanistan and Kazakhstan had no studies.


A snow leopard scent marking a rocky outcrop in the Western Himalaya, India.

Table 3. Studies estimating snow leopard population density using camera traps, genetics and radio-telemetry, 1916 to 2017 . See Appendix II for details of the studies used in this table.

| COUNTRY | SNOW LEOPARD <br> HABITAT (KM2) | \% OF TOTAL COUNTRY SNOW <br> LEOPARD RANGE AREA | NO. OF <br> STUDIES | ACTUAL AREA <br> COVERED | \% OF RANGE <br> AREA COVERED |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bhutan | 15000 | 1 | 4 | 11360 | 75.73 |
| Pakistan | 80000 | 5 | 1 | 19000 | 23.75 |
| Nepal | 30000 | 2 | 13 | 5729 | 19.10 |
| India | 75000 | 4 | 10 | 4446 | 5.93 |
| Mongolia | 101000 | 6 | 13 | 3562 | 3.53 |
| Tajikistan | 100000 | 6 | 11 | 2877 | 2.88 |
| Kyrgyzstan | 105000 | 6 | 2 | 1463 | 1.39 |


| COUNTRY | SNOW LEOPARD <br> HABITAT (KM2) | \% OF TOTAL COUNTRY SNOW <br> LEOPARD RANGE AREA | NO. OF <br> STUDIES | ACTUAL AREA <br> COVERED | \% OF RANGE <br> AREA COVERED |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Russia | 60000 | 3 | 1 | 400 | 0.67 |
| China | 1100000 | 62 | 9 | 2549 | 0.23 |
| Uzbekistan | 10000 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Afghanistan | 50000 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Kazakhstan | 50000 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Total | $17,76,000$ |  | 95 | 51386 |  |

## Evidence for effectiveness of conservation interventions

Evidence for effectiveness of conservation actions on snow leopard research was rare, with just four studies specifically evaluating the results of their conservation actions. Amongst these, one investigated the potential impacts of trophy hunting on snow leopards (Kachel et al., 2017), two examined whether the recovery of wild prey would result in reduced predation of livestock by snow leopards (Bagchi et al., 2019; Suryawanshi et al., 2017) and one tested the efficacy of fencing to protect livestock from snow leopard predation (Samelius et al., 2020). Despite considerable focus on human-wildlife conflict in snow leopard research, the evidence for effectiveness of various conflict mitigation measures was scarce. This was the same for other commonly proposed interventions such as recovery of wild ungulates to reduce livestock predation and various incentives to promote community stewardship of snow leopards.


Livestock secured through a predator proof corral in the Western Himalaya of India. Livestock predation by snow leopards is a major reason for conflict with local communities.

## RESEARCH PRIORTTIES ANDGAPSIN KNOWLEDGE

We found that research efforts over the past 100 years cover approximately $22 \%$ of the snow leopard range. This was partly due to the rugged terrain in which snow leopards occur and the related logistical challenges of conducting research in the snow leopard range. International borders and hostilities between range countries presented further challenge, with access near transboundary areas difficult.

The coverage of research themes in the snow leopard range was uneven with ecological research receiving major attention, followed by research on humanwildlife conflict and social dimensions of snow leopard research. Several areas with high relevance to conservation management, such as direct threats to snow leopards and wild ungulates, conservation plans and policies, threats and issues of rangelands and climate change received relatively less attention. Even within ecological research, abundance and distribution of snow leopards and wild ungulates comprised the majority of research, especially in the early days. This is perhaps understandable as sheer logistical difficulties in accessing snow leopard habitats precluded long-term research, and rapid surveys focusing on distribution and abundance would have been most feasible. The information provided by the surveys that would be valuable as research was patchy and an accurate assessment of snow leopard abundance and distribution remained elusive. However, in the past two decades, even though research became more diverse, a substantial focus on population size and distribution surveys of snow leopards and prey species continued. Research on other important aspects of snow leopard ecology - such as disease ecology, relationship between livestock, wild ungulates and carrying capacity of rangelands, movement ecology, population dynamics, snow leopard behaviour and impacts of climate change - appeared woefully inadequate for informed conservation planning and management.

In the Anthropocene, humans are constantly shaping the environment and have emerged as the biggest drivers of environmental change (Steffen et al., 2007). The importance of qualitative research in understanding complex conservation problems, therefore, cannot be overemphasized. In-depth research is necessary to understand the relationship between, and impacts of, humans on the natural environment (Rust et al., 2017). Snow leopards share space with local pastoral and agro-pastoral communities across their range and more than $80 \%$ is outside of the protected areas (Deguignet et al., 2014). Despite this fact, the relatively slow growth of research in the human dimensions of conservation is worrying and should be a major focus of research for the snow leopard conservation community.

Good decision making for conservation planning needs to be founded on rigorous science and reliable information while acknowledging and remedying the uncertainty associated with knowledge (IUCN - SSC Species Conservation Planning Sub-Committee, 2017). The variety of information required for effective conservation planning can be far higher in coupled socio-ecological systems such as multiple-use snow leopard habitats.

Studies on snow leopard-prey relationships, migration and dispersal, social structure and behaviour, demographic parameters, role of interactions between snow leopards and free-ranging dogs and wild and domestic ungulates in disease outbreaks and habitat selection in multiple-use landscapes are critical for developing effective conservation plans, and yet have received relatively poor attention. Several thematic areas of direct conservation relevance such as conservation planning and policy, impacts of habitat fragmentation and degradation on snow leopards and wild ungulates and determinants of social carrying capacity/ tolerance for snow leopards have also received very little attention, despite their obvious significance.



We argue that diversifying areas of conservation research should be a priority for the conservation community, especially as old threats to snow leopards such as poaching (Nowell et al., 2016) continue unabated and emerging threats such as climate change (Li et al., 2016) and large-scale economic and infrastructure development in High Asia pose a new set of conservation challenges.

Despite considerable focus on species distribution and abundance, less than $3 \%$ of the snow leopard range has been surveyed using rigorous population-density estimation methods including use of camera traps and genetic tools. While researchers generally agree that current snow leopard numbers are based on the need to extrapolate broadly from knowledge based on $3 \%$ of their range, there has been no large-scale effort to rigorously estimate snow leopard populations across their range. This, coupled with the absence of long-term monitoring programmes makes it impossible to evaluate the impact of conservation interventions on the species. Hopefully, the lack of rigorous estimates of population abundance will be gradually remedied by the recently increased focus on national-level population assessments by several range country governments and NGOs. There is also a global focus on large-scale snow leopard population estimates in the form of PAWS (Population Assessment of the World's Snow Leopards) under the umbrella of the GSLEP (Snow Leopard Working Secretariat, 2013).

Another major gap in snow leopard research is the lack of focus on evidence for effectiveness of conservation actions. Our review found just four studies that explicitly evaluated the effectiveness of conservation interventions in the snow leopard range. This indicates another major omission in snow leopard science where the lack of evidence for the effectiveness of a variety of concurrent interventions results in limited decision support for conservation.

The snow leopard conservation community has long known the information required for effective conservation planning of the species. A master list of these needs and potential methods to address them was outlined in detail in the first version of the Snow Leopard Survival Strategy (McCarthy \& Chapron, 2003). This was reassessed in 2014 with a full chapter devoted to gaps in knowledge on snow leopard and prey species' ecology and conservation (Snow Leopard Network, 2014). A comparison of the two lists shows that most of the research priorities listed in 2003 remain priority areas, except for food habits, population estimates, snow leopard distribution, prey species distribution, competition between wild prey and livestock, methods to alleviate the impact of war, livestock and human population trends, analysis of existing policies and laws and protected area coverage. Based on our assessment of the coverage of various thematic areas in existing snow leopard research and the conservation requirements of the species, we propose the following areas of research that require urgent attention. This is not an exhaustive list of research needs, but are recommended areas of research that require priority action.

1. Evaluating the effectiveness of conservation actions: An inability to address counterfactual scenarios continues to pose a major challenge to many conservation efforts (Ferraro, 2009; Ferraro \& Pattanayak, 2006) and snow leopard conservation is no exception. Success in conservation projects remains poorly defined with outcomes of conservation interventions seldom documented and quantitative empirical evidence of the impact of conservation actions rarely collected. The lack of appropriate performance evaluation in conservation programmes, especially the failure to link conservation outcomes to goals, is increasingly being scrutinized (Kleiman et al., 2000; McDonaldMadden et al., 2009). An evidence-based conservation approach for snow leopards should be a priority for conservation organisations and snow leopard range country governments.
2. Monitoring snow leopards and prey species: The tools and techniques used to estimate population-density of elusive species have seen considerable development, especially in the past two decades. Camera trap surveys and genetic assessments have become cheaper and statistical approaches such as mark-recapture and spatially-explicit capture-recapture models (Borchers \& Efford, 2008; Gopalaswamy \& Royle, 2012) now enable robust data analysis. Snow leopards and prey species need to be enumerated and monitored using a strong set of scientifically accepted methods, with collaborative multistakeholder monitoring programmes set up in key landscapes. The 23 GSLEP priority landscapes would provide a good starting point. Long term monitoring programmes in priority landscapes can provide valuable information on the trends in population of snow leopards, wild ungulates and livestock as well as the potential factors driving the change in the population of species of interest and the impact of threats such as climate change and habitat degradation. Combined, this information can provide critical insights for informed conservation decisions.
3. Integrating human dimensions into conservation: Michael E. Soule defined conservation biology as a crisis discipline and placed social sciences firmly in its ambit (Soule, 1985). In an increasingly complex and human-dominated world, social science research, coupled with natural science research, can offer unique insights into conservation problems which, in turn, can inform robust and effective conservation policies (Bennett et al., 2016). Given the large interface between people and snow leopards, it is crucial to integrate human dimensions into snow leopard conservation research.
4. Disease: Aspects of snow leopard disease ecology, outbreaks of disease in wild ungulate populations and transfer of disease between wild ungulates and livestock have received woefully inadequate attention (Snow Leopard Network, 2014). Most of our knowledge about the diseases affecting snow leopards comes from zoo studies. However, four radio-collared snow leopards were recently reported dead in South Gobi, possibly due to infectious disease (Esson et al., 2019), while disease outbreaks in wild ungulate populations have been reported from Pakistan, Tajikistan and India with significant mortalities. These examples illustrate our lack of knowledge about disease prevalence, outbreak and transmission in the wild and they call for the establishment of surveillance and monitoring systems in important snow leopard landscapes (Snow Leopard Network, 2014). This research should be conducted as part of a One Health approach that brings together human, wildlife and ecosystem health as an essential part of efforts to prevent further emerging infectious diseases, such as the globally devastating virus causing COVID-19.
5. Spatial ecology: Snow leopard habitats show tremendous diversity owing to spatial variation in the distribution of resources and other biotic and abiotic factors across its vast range in High Asia. A multi-scale assessment of how variation in the distribution of resources, threats and abiotic factors affects snow leopard population density, habitat use and connectivity is fundamental to developing landscape-scale conservation plans for snow leopards and should be prioritized.
6. Impacts of climate change and infrastructure development: Some of the more recent predictive models of climate change indicate that more than a third of snow leopard habitat areas might become unsuitable for the cat if current climate change trends continue (Li et al., 2016). This indicates the fragility of High Asia in the face of climate change, which is expected to negatively impact not only its biodiversity but also the livelihoods of local communities. This, coupled with large-scale infrastructure development such as mining, megadams and roads, is expected to further fragment and degrade the habitat. While the exact effects of climate change are difficult to predict, it is certain to have an overarching influence by impacting critical ecosystem processes and functions. Therefore, it is essential to develop a better understanding of the possible impacts of climate change and implement effective adaptation and
mitigation strategies. This could involve multinational efforts to protect future climate refugia for snow leopards and implement the necessary mitigation and adaptation measures to reduce the impact of climate change on habitats and prevent potential maladaptations.
7. Rangeland ecology: One of the major causes of conservation conflicts in the snow leopard range is the difficulty of reconciling the contrasting needs of livestock herding and wildlife conservation (Du Toit et al., 2010). So far, initiatives that attempt to reconcile these objectives in multiple-use rangelands seem to have had little success, being severely limited in scope and scale. The management of rangelands thus continues arbitrarily, due to the lack of coordination and understanding amongst stakeholders and the absence of a unified framework for snow leopard conservation.

Across most rangelands, wildlife population densities have been suppressed due to hunting for meat and the wildlife trade, competition with livestock and retaliatory killing of carnivores for livestock depredation. It is evident that rangelands form the bedrock for both livestock herding and wildlife conservation, yet our ecological understanding of these systems remains undeveloped.

Rangelands are undergoing tremendous changes, with climate change expected to increase aridity, leading to widespread desertification and impact on human livelihoods. Large-scale fencing, such as in the Tibetan Plateau, is becoming a barrier to the free movement of wildlife and the privatisation of rangelands is forcing nomads to settle (Yan \& Wu, 2005). An ill-conceived policy, that resulted in the large-scale poisoning of plateau pikas, impacted the percolation of water with potentially severe negative impacts on the hydrological functions of large watersheds in the Qinghai-Tibetan plateau (Wilson \& Smith, 2015). As economic development in Asia catches up with other regions of the world, the demand for animal products such as dairy and meat is expected to fuel further growth of the livestock industry (Delgado, 2003; Dong, 2006). In Mongolia, herders engaged in community-based rangeland management (CBRM) have been found to be significantly more proactive in addressing resource management issues and combining innovative and traditional rangeland management practices than their counterparts not involved in CBRM (Ulambayar et al., 2017). In the Spiti valley in India, a community-based livestock-free reserve wherein communities were compensated for lost grazing potential through direct cash payments, reported a five-fold increase in blue sheep populations over a decade (Mishra et al., 2016). Another study found that livestock production and snow leopard conservation were compatible, up to certain thresholds of livestock densities (Sharma et al., 2015). These examples highlight the importance of targeted research in not only understanding the impact of conservation interventions, but in also identifying the opportunities and limits of reconciling livestock production goals with wildlife conservation. Research must focus on understanding the opportunities and challenges of maintaining rangelands as functional ecosystems that can support humans, their livestock and wildlife populations. This requires exploring and implementing ideas that promote ecological harmony in these unique socioecological areas.

## conclusions

Our review suggests that despite an exponential increase in snow leopard research over the past two decades, several important areas remain poorly understood. While research on snow leopard abundance and distribution received a major share of attention, we still do not have reliable estimates of snow leopard abundance across the larger part of the snow leopard range and neither has its distribution been mapped accurately. There is an urgent need to diversify the agenda for snow leopard research, with an enhanced focus on spatial ecology of snow leopards in multiple-use landscapes, disease ecology, impacts of climate change, its population dynamics, the relationships between people, livestock, wild ungulates, snow leopards and rangelands and the impacts of infrastructure development on snow leopard habitat selection and use. The social dimension of research in snow leopard range requires a major impetus and should be one of the top priorities.

Our review also highlights the sobering lack of empirical evidence on a variety of conservation interventions that continue to be recommended and employed with limited evidence of their efficacy. This is specifically true for interventions on mitigating human-wildlife conflict such as predator-proof corrals, improved livestock guarding practices, efforts to enhance wild ungulate prey to reduce livestock predation by snow leopards, recommendations to increase protected area coverage and incentives and/or conservation awareness programmes to enhance the tolerance of local communities towards snow leopards.

Finally, based on our review, we recommend areas of snow leopard research that require increased investment and focus to ensure that snow leopard conservation is informed by adequate knowledge of a diverse array of conservation problems, is evidence-based and can meet the challenges of reconciling the needs of people and wildlife in the high mountains of Central and South Asia.

## LIMITATIONS

Our review only examined the peer-reviewed English language journal publications and therefore excludes a large quantity of non-English or unpublished information. For example, several authors in the snow leopard range countries, predominantly China, Russia, Kyrgyzstan, Uzbekistan and Kazakhstan, publish in Chinese and Russian language journals which we have not included in our review. The exclusion of this work is likely to have affected our results, specifically in the number of publications attributed to these countries as well as the assessment of the geographical coverage of snow leopard research.
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## APPENOXI

Coded themes of snow leopard research with branched sub-themes for data analysis. Themes are coded alphabetically and subthemes coded as F, fall under ecological research.

| THEMES | SUB-THEMES | CODE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Human-wildlife conflict | Attitude, perception \& behaviour of local communities | A1 |
|  | Factors responsible for HWC | A2 |
|  | HWC mitigation measures and strategies | A3 |
|  | Livestock depredation \& associated costs | A4 |
|  | Hidden costs of conflict | A5 |
|  | Loss of life, injuries and property damage | A6 |
|  | Crop/orchard loss by wild ungulates | A7 |
| Climate change | Impact of climate change on snow leopards \& prey | B1 |
|  | Impact of climate change on local communities | B2 |
|  | Climate modelling | B3 |
|  | Climate adaptation \& mitigation | B4 |
| Conservation plans \& policies | International/national/landscape conservation plans | C1 |
|  | International/national policies/effectiveness analysis | C2 |
|  | Trans-boundary issues and cooperation for Protected Areas | C3 |
|  | Relationship between locals and management bodies | C4 |
|  | Legal frameworks (CITES, CBD, CMS etc.) | C5 |
|  | Trophy hunting | C6 |
| Direct threats to snow leopards and wild ungulates | Poaching of snow leopard \& illegal trade | D1 |
|  | Collection for zoos | D2 |
|  | Reduction of natural prey by illegal and unregulated hunting or legal hunting | D3 |
|  | Direct killings in retaliation for livestock loss | D4 |
|  | Free-ranging dogs | D5 |
|  | Impact of war and unrest | D6 |
| Threats and issues of rangelands | Overstocking of rangelands and impact of livestock grazing | E1 |
|  | Linear infrastructure and mining | E2 |
|  | Collection of medicinal plants (including cordyceps) | E3 |
|  | Unregulated tourism, urbanization, military infrastructure, dams | E4 |
|  | Rangeland systems and dynamics | E5 |
| Survey and monitoring | Description and morphological characteristics of snow leopard and wild ungulates | F1 |
|  | Snow leopard distribution \& hotspots | F2 |
|  | Snow leopard population density estimates | F3 |
|  | Prey species distribution \& hotspots | F4 |
|  | Prey species population density estimates | F5 |
|  | Snow leopard monitoring techniques | F6 |
|  | Ungulate monitoring techniques | F7 |
|  | Population and distribution of co-predators | F8 |
|  | Livestock population and change | F9 |


| THEMES | SUB-THEMES | CODE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population ecology | Snow leopard demography (population dynamics, survival, and mortality) | F10 |
|  | Wild ungulates demography (population dynamics, survival, and mortality) | F11 |
| Ethology | Snow leopard social structure and behaviour | F12 |
|  | Wild ungulate social structure and behaviour | F13 |
| Movement ecology | Migration and dispersal routes (snow leopard) | F14 |
|  | Home range size and movement patterns (snow leopard) | F15 |
|  | Migration and dispersal routes (wild ungulates) | F16 |
|  | Home range size and movement patterns (wild ungulates) | F17 |
| Food web dynamics | Food habits of snow leopards | F18 |
|  | Snow leopard-prey relationships | F19 |
|  | Snow leopard co-predator food habits \& overlaps | F20 |
|  | Competition between livestock and wild prey | F21 |
|  | Ungulate/prey species foraging strategies | F22 |
| Ecological economics | Economic valuation of snow leopard mountain ecosystems \& PES | F23 |
| Habitat use and selection | Snow leopard habitat use and selection | F24 |
|  | Wild ungulates habitat use and selection | F25 |
|  | Habitat use and selection by co-predators | F26 |
| Effectiveness of conservation actions | Evaluate the effectiveness of conservation actions | F27 |
| Role of Protected Areas | Role of Protected Areas, land sparing vs. land sharing | F28 |
| Molecular ecology | Connectivity, corridors and genetic exchange | F29 |
|  | Genetics-based population assessments | F30 |
|  | Demographics and metapopulation dynamics | F31 |
|  | Snow leopard genetics, species identification, genetic diversity | F32 |
| Disease ecology | Disease of snow leopard, co-predators and wild ungulates | F33 |
|  | Disease transmission between snow leopards and livestock | F34 |
|  | Disease transmission between snow leopards and free-ranging dogs | F35 |
| Physiology | Physiological studies in captivity, capture and immobilization response | F36 |
| GIS \& remote sensing | GIS/remote sensing assessments of habitat, corridors, resistance surfaces etc. | F37 |
| Review/Synthesis | Review of status of snow leopard's conservation and ecology | F38 |
| Human dimensions of conservation | Livestock and pasture management strategies | G1 |
|  | Community stewardship of conservation (cultural, resource management, religious) | G2 |
|  | Human nature relationships, cultural, totemic, symbolism of snow leopards | G3 |
|  | Traditional governance institutions and resource management | G4 |
|  | Traditional practices and folklore in snow leopard conservation | G5 |
|  | Conservation conflicts (multiple dimensions) | G6 |
|  | Community engagement protocols \& participatory mechanisms supporting decision-making | G7 |
|  | Integration of traditional knowledge and science (focus on conservation action) | G8 |
|  | Livelihood optimisation | G9 |
|  | Sacred site protection and management | G10 |
|  | Socio-economics, transition and changes in herder communities | G11 |
| Conservation technology | Radio collars, camera traps, drones and other wildlife monitoring technology | H1 |

APPENDIX II
List of studies estimating global snow leopard populations using camera traps and genetic analysis.

| BLANK | TITLE | COUNTRY | YEAR | LOCATION | AREA (KM2) | SURVEY <br> METHOD | MINIMUM NUMBER | ESTIMATE | DENSITY <br> PER (KM2) | ABUNDANCE METHOD | DENSITY METHOD | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (DoFPS, 2016) | National snow leopard survey of Bhutan 2014-2016 [Phase II]: Camera trap survey for population estimation. | Bhutan | 2016 | Jigme Khesar Strict Nature Reserve, Paro Territorial Divison, Jigme Dorji National Park, Wangchuk Centennial National Park, Bumdeling Wildlife Sanctuary | 11360 | Camera traps and genetic analysis | 63 | 96 | 1.08 | SECR | SECR | Report |
| (Wangda et al., 2016) | Population status and distribution of Snow Leopard in Wangchuck Centennial National Park in Bhutan. | Bhutan | 2016 | Wangchuck Centennial National ParkCentral Park Range | 797 | Camera Traps | 9 | 9 to 11 | 2.39 | CMR | Bayesian SECR | Report |
| (Wangda et al., 2016) | Population status and distribution of Snow Leopard in Wangchuck Centennial National Park in Bhutan. | Bhutan | 2016 | Wangchuck Centennial National ParkWestern Park Range | 621 | Camera Traps | 7 | 5 to 17 | 3.36 | CMR | Bayesian SECR | Report |
| (Ming et al., 2006) | Camera trapping of snow leopards for the photo capture rate and population size in the Muzat valley of Tian Shan Mountains. | China | 2006 | Muzat Valley | 1000 | Camera Traps | 5 | 5 to 8 | 2.0 to 3.2 | NA | Buffer strip based | Journal |


| peunor | IN | s｜enpi！n！pu！ ょо дəqunи unแ！u！w | IN | IN | $\varepsilon$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { sde»』 } \\ \text { eגәшé } \end{array}$ | 87 | nм！$y^{\text {¢ }}$ | 910Z | （дәq！ı）ви！ |  aınien feuoḷen ешвиерошоб и！ е！วun едәчдиед pıedoə mous рәдәธัиериョ әчд <br>  pue sniets | （9 loz＂ןе дə иәบJ） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| peunor | IN | s｜enpi！！！pu！ ょо дәqunи unmu！u！ | IN | IN | て | $\begin{array}{r} \text { sde»_ } \\ \text { eגәшé } \end{array}$ | 96 | e！x8uoy | 910Z | （дәq！ı）еи！ |  aııen ןeuo！pen ешвиерошоб и！ е！วun едәчдиед pıedoə mous рәдәธัериョ әч7 до ио！ңемәзиот pue sniets | （910て＂ן ұə иә૫J） |
| peunor | IN | s｜enpi！ı！pu！ ょо дәqunи unmu！u！ | IN | IN | 乙 | sde»」 eдәшет | ていし | 8uojeyz | 910Z | （дәq！ı）еи！ | ’əəq！$\perp$ ‘ə＾дəsəy әınłen ןeuo！fen ешяиеןошоঠ и！ е！еии едәцдиед рлеdoə Mous рәəəธนиериョ әц7 ょо ио！̣елиәsuos pue snieds |  |
| peunno | $\begin{array}{r} \text { yJЭS } \\ \text { ue!səKeg } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { YJЭS } \\ \text { ue!səKeg } \end{array}$ | $6 て ゙ ¢$ Or 9r゙ | 980707 | $6107 \angle 1$ |  | SLE | әләรәу <br> aınłen <br> jeuolien ueysue！！！ל | 910Z | еu！${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | еи！чว ןセдиәว u！sdeaz exameว Bu！sn uoliejndod риеdoə Mous e до мә！л лепиеля $\forall$ | （9102 <br> ＂• ұə дәриехәઇ |
| ¢eunnor | yכヨS ue！səイeg | $\begin{array}{r} \text { yכヨs } \\ \text { ue!səKeg } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \left(10^{\prime} \downarrow\right. \\ =\exists S) \mid \varepsilon^{\prime} \cdot \end{array}$ | 9ع－0z | 02 | sdeл」 едәшеう | 087 | әләรәу aıņen jeuolien ueपsue！！！ర | SlOz | еи！чว | ＇sə！̣！！！uza pıedoəา Mous fo รəłeய！！！S lsnqoy spıeмо। ：ən｜e＾əગe」 | （sioz <br> ＂戸е ұә дәриехә｜ ） |
| ıeunno | $\begin{gathered} \text { paseq } \\ \text { dulus } \\ \text { dəying } \end{gathered}$ | צ | $\downarrow L^{\circ} 0$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (sع'S } \\ & =\exists \mathrm{S}) 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\dagger$ | sdeı』 eдәшет | ع18 | anmol | 8002 | еи！чว | ＇әэuepunq甘 pıedozך Mous „0 s．ołem！its Bulssass $\forall$ | （8002＂阳 <br>  |
| peunor | $\forall \mathrm{N}$ | $\forall \mathrm{N}$ | IN | IN | 6 | s！sरןeue ว！ఛәиәワ | IN | anmol | 8002 | еи！чว | әวuepunq $\forall$ pıedozך Mous fo s．ołemilis Bulssass $\forall$ | （800z＂ןe <br>  |
| Sצ४甘W3y | aOHLヨW <br> AlISN30 | aOHL3W 3כNVaNng | （ZWY）yヨd ALISNヨa | 31＊WILS3 | yヨawns wnWINIW | 0OHㅋW <br>  |  | NOIL＊JO1 | 女 $\forall \exists \lambda$ 人 | 人̇\INNOJ | 37 IIL | YNV78 |


| BLANK | TITLE | COUNTRY | YEAR | LOCATION | AREA (KM2) | SURVEY <br> METHOD | MINIMUM NUMBER | ESTIMATE | DENSITY <br> PER (KM2) | ABUNDANCE METHOD | DENSITY METHOD | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jackson et al., 2006) | Estimating <br> Snow Leopard <br> Population <br> Abundance Using <br> Photography and <br> Capture-Recapture <br> Techniques. | India | 2005 | Rumbak <br> watershed, <br> Hemis <br> National Park | 71 | Camera Traps | 6 | N | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 8.49(\text { SE }= \\ 0.22) \end{array}$ | CR | Buffer strip based | Journal |
| Jackson et al., 2006) | Estimating <br> Snow Leopard <br> Population <br> Abundance Using <br> Photography and <br> Capture-Recapture <br> Techniques. | India | 2005 | Rumbak watershed, Hemis National Park | 135 | Camera Traps | 6 | N | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4.45 (SE = } \\ & 0.16) \end{aligned}$ | CR | Buffer strip based | Journal |
| (R. K. Sharma et al., 2015) | Does livestock benefit or harm snow leopards? | India | 2015 | Upper Spiti Landscape | 4000 | Camera Traps | 24 | NI | NI | NI | NI | Journal |
| (Suryawanshi et al., 2017) | Impact of wild prey availability on livestock predation by snow leopards. | India | 2017 | Gya | 300 | Genetic analysis | 4 | 5 | 1.66 | CR | Naïve Estimate | Journal |
| (Suryawanshi et al., 2017) | Impact of wild prey availability on livestock predation by snow leopards. | India | 2017 | Lossar | 219 | Genetic analysis | 1 | 1 | 0.45 | CR | Naïve Estimate | Journal |
| (Suryawanshi et al., 2017) | Impact of wild prey availability on livestock predation by snow leopards. | India | 2017 | Pin | 270 | Genetic analysis | 2 | 2 | 0.74 | CR | Naïve Estimate | Journal |
| (Suryawanshi et al., 2017) | Impact of wild prey availability on livestock predation by snow leopards. | India | 2017 | Tabo | 341 | Genetic analysis | 4 | 4 | 1.17 | CR | Naïve Estimate | Journal |
| (Suryawanshi et al., 2017) | Impact of wild prey availability on livestock predation by snow leopards. | India | 2017 | Kibber | 411 | Genetic analysis | 7 | 8 | 1.94 | CR | Naïve Estimate | Journal |
| (Suryawanshi et al., 2017) | Impact of wild prey availability on livestock predation by snow leopards. | India | 2017 | Lingti | 240 | Genetic analysis | 7 | 8 | 3.3 | CR | Naïve Estimate | Journal |


| ¢eunno｜ | IN | כ | IN | してOłカl | ャレ | sde»」 eגәueว | †891 | 2501 | †10Z | e！！osuow | ＇e！｜osuow＇！̣oפ yznos ‘su！̣ezunow 7SO L u！e！pun еләчдиед ржедоәт моия радәธ̈uериョ әч7 „о uo！lejndod <br>  sכ！ueuイa snoxos！＾ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ｜eurno｜ |  | כ | $6^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ Of $6^{\circ} \mathrm{t}$ | 610791 | 91 | s！şןjeue ว！ฺəนәŋ | $\downarrow \downarrow$ | みəsə0！！${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ | 1102 | e！！osuow | ＇spxedoə mous Suikanıns 10ł sənbuичวәт Buildeג－－едәшеว pue כ！ฉəиәร әл！seли！̣йu ғо uos！uedmos | (ılOZ <br> ＂ן ұә еұગ્રəuе） |
| ןeunno｜ | pəseq <br> dulus <br> дəझng | כֶ | S＇l | （tor t）t | † | sde»」 eגəueว | †92 | みəsə0！！¢ | lloz | e！！o8uow | ＇spıedoə mous suikaлuns 10ł sənb！uчวәғ Buildex－－גәшет pue כ！ әл！！seлu！̣uou fo uos！uedmos | （ L LOZ <br>  |
| ןeunno｜ | IN | כל | IN | 91 Oł 6 | Sl | $\begin{array}{r} \text { sde^_ } \\ \text { eגəmej) } \end{array}$ | 00\＆1 | ！qoפ yınos | 0102 | e！！osuow | ‘e！｜osuow ‘！qoפ цұпоs u！̣ spxedoə mous ıо Kpnıs шдә－－8ио е е до <br>  | (OLOZ <br> ＂ןе ұә Кчдィеээพ） |
| peunno｜ | pəseq <br> dulus <br> дəృnя | כֶ | Sl＇0 | 1 | $\downarrow$ | sde»」 eגamé | SS9 | нечЈКıes | 8002 | uezszイ8ıky | －əэuepunq甘 pıedoəт mous <br>  sulussass |  |
| peunno｜ |  | כֶ | $\angle 80$ | $\begin{array}{r} (z 9 \cdot \varepsilon \\ =\exists \mathrm{S}) \angle \end{array}$ | ऽ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { sde^_ } \\ \text { eגәuej } \end{array}$ | 808 | ние8ัue｜ | 8002 | uełszKOı＿产 | －əวuepunqも pıedoәך моиs fo sıołem！！sヨ 8u！̣ssess $\forall$ |  |
| łu！udəıd | ४วヨs | צכヨ | $\begin{array}{r} (80 \cdot \downarrow \\ \text { of } \left.\varepsilon \Sigma^{\circ} 0\right) 6 \sigma^{\prime} 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (8 \varepsilon \\ 0 \neq 0 z) \mathrm{s} \end{array}$ | 91 |  | 056 | әdeэspueา <br> lu！ds rəddn | 0ZOZ | е！pu｜ |  <br>  ！？！ d s u！ədeכspue， әsn－әd！！！пne e u！ spıedoə mous „о K！！！！qеұכәәәр рие ұиәшәлош＇Kı！sиәр －uo！̣e｜ndod u！ uo！reupe ן ן！peds | （0zOz＂保 <br>  |
| SหצษWэヌ | aOHL3W ALISNヨ | aOH1ヨW ヨJN甘ONกタ甘 | （ZWy）yヨd人LISNヨa | 3－VWILS | yヨawns wnWININ | 0OH1ヨW ㅅㅋㄴํㅇ | （zWy）४ヨ | NOIL＊JO7 | y $\forall \exists \lambda$ A | 人XINNOJ | 37111 | YNV78 |


| BLANK | TITLE | COUNTRY | YEAR | LOCATION | AREA (KM2) | SURVEY METHOD | MINIMUM NUMBER | ESTIMATE | DENSITY <br> PER (KM2) | ABUNDANCE METHOD | DENSITY METHOD | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Conradi, 2006) | Non-invasive sampling of snow leopards (Uncia uncia) in Phu valley, Nepal. | Nepal | 2006 | Phu Valley | 266 | Genetic analysis | 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \text { (9 to } \\ & \text { 18) } \end{aligned}$ | NI | CR | NI | Masters Thesis |
| (Ale et al., 2014) | On the status of Snow Leopard Panthera uncia (Schreber, 1775) in Annapurna, Nepal. | Nepal | 2014 | Annapurna Conservation Area | 75 | Camera Traps | 3 | N | NI | Minimum number of individuals | NI | Journal |
| (Aryal et al., 2014) | Blue sheep in the Annapurna Conservation Area, Nepal: Habitat use, population biomass and their contribution to the carrying capacity of snow leopards. | Nepal | 2014 | Annapurna Conservation Area | 995 | Prey <br> Biomass | 19 | 19 | 1.6 | Prey Biomass | Naïve <br> Estimate | Journal |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { (Chetri et al., } \\ \text { 2019) } \end{array}$ | Estimating snow leopard density using fecal DNA in a large landscape in north-central Nepal. | Nepal | 2019 | AnnapurnaManaslu | 4393 | Genetic analysis | 34 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 144(101 to } \\ & 214) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 0.95(0.1 to } \\ & 1.9) \end{aligned}$ | SECR | SECR | Journal |
| (Nawaz \& Hameed, 2015) | Research Update 2008-2014. Snow leopard program, Pakistan. | Pakistan | 2015 | Fifteen different sites across snow leopard range | 19000 | Genetic analysis | 23 | NI | NI | Minimum number of individuals | NI | Report |
| (Poyarkov et al., 2020) | Assurance of the existence of a trans-boundary population of the snow leopard (Panthera uncia) at Tsagaanshuvuut <br> - Tsagan-Shibetu SPA at the Mongolia-Russia border. | Russia | 2020 | TsaganShibetu | 400 | Genetic analysis | 37 | NI | N | Minimum number of individuals | NI | Journal |
| 2012) <br> (Diment et al., 2012) | First biodiversity survey of Zorkul reserve, Pamir Mountains, Tajikistan. | Tajikistan | 2012 | Zorkul Reserve | 877 | Camera Traps | 4 | N | N | Minimum number of individuals | NI | Journal |


| peunnor | צวヨS uе！səイeg | IN | $\begin{array}{r} \left(0 z^{\prime 0}\right. \\ =\mathrm{as}) \\ 9 t^{\prime} 0 \end{array}$ | IN | 9 |  | 0001 | еәле рә马̊еиешии ueK！pew | LIOZ |  |  <br> u！uo！̣enәsuos е！эun едәчдиед pıedoə mous uo <br>  Suḷuny Kydozł fo <br>  әч7 8 อ！！ев！！รәли | （ L LOZ <br>  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| peunnor | צวヨs uе！səイeg | IN | $\begin{array}{r} (L 1 \cdot 0 \\ =O S) \downarrow \angle \circ 0 \end{array}$ | IN | カレ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { sde»』 } \\ \text { eגәшé } \end{array}$ | 0001 | ио！ssəวuoว Kuedmos su！punh qeys̊．nw | Lloz |  | uezs！！y！！［e। <br> ul uolpenasuoz е！כun едәчдиед pıedoə mous uo <br>  suḷuny Kydoızo <br>  әч7 8и！ңеธ！！รәли |  |
| SYY甘W3y | 0OHIヨW人LISNヨa | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GOHıヨW } \\ \text { 3כN*aNnav } \end{array}$ | （ZWY）yヨd A $\mathrm{IISN} \ddagger \mathrm{a}$ | 31VWILS3 | yヨawns WחWININ | aOHㅋN人ヨ＾уกS | （zWy）vヨyv | NOIL＊JO7 | 女 $\forall \exists \lambda$ | 人̇ııNกOJ | 37111 | YN甘79 |
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[^0]:    1. High Asia (> 3000 meters) includes the Altai, Tian Shan, Kunlun, Pamir, Hindu Kush, Karakorum, Tibetan Plateau and Himalayan ranges.
