In response to the Call coming from the co-chairs of the Ad-hoc Work Programme on New Collective Quantified Goal, WWF is pleased to submit the inputs below to the Programme.

Summary
- First 2024 meeting of the Programme should focus on preparing the outline for the final decision, based on the work of previous two years;
- Outline should be based on already identified elements;
- Work during this meeting should focus on structure of the decision, not specific textual proposals.

Following the first submission submitted by WWF in February, we would like to elaborate on the organization of work, thematic areas as well as the expected outcome of the Ninth Technical Expert Dialogue for New Collective Quantified Goal.

On process:

TED:

The Ninth Technical Expert Dialogue should serve as a space for summarizing the work of the two previous years and list all the basic thematic elements that should constitute the new goal and discuss technically, but not politically, the advantages of including specific provisions and a sample structure.

AWP:

Based on the TED’s technical work, Parties should engage on creating recommendations for an outline for the NCQG decision. This work should be done in an open and transparent manner, and might lead to deliver an outcome in the following ways:

- Based on oral submissions by Parties, and captured by co-chairs in the report of the session;
- Based on oral and written submissions, compiled by co-chairs either during the session or after the session;
- With a clearly expressed mandate given to co-chairs, a proposed structure could be presented at the beginning of the session and serve as a basis for the discussion.

Both options will require a mandate for the co-chairs to deliver text, which could be then an input to the next TED.
Presence of the Civil Society Organizations provides a useful opportunity to include submissions and inputs from CSOs as well in the text, with full understanding that it is Parties who decide on the final shape of the decision.

Outcome:

An ideal outcome of the session would be a draft thematic outline and structure for the final decision, including all the elements proposed by Parties, with an understanding that nothing is agreed until everything is agreed, and some elements might not be a part of a final goal.

This text should form a basis for the work of the second AWP meeting.

The sample decision structure (main headings) delivered as an outcome of the discussion could look as follows:

- Preamble
- Total quantum (or quanta)
- Structure of the goal, including provisions on donors and recipients
- Origins of financial flows, including public, private, blended and alternative
- Timeline of the goal
- Thematic windows, subgoals and crosscutting elements, including e.g.:
  - Mitigation subgoal
  - Adaptation subgoal
  - Loss and damage subgoal
  - Provisions on mitigation and adaptation balance
- Transparency provisions of the goal, including text on definitions
- Modalities on review of the number and possibly elements of the goal.

Such a structure could also be populated by more specific placeholders, with an understanding that nothing is agreed until everything is agreed.
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